

A MILLIMAN GLOBAL FIRM



Milliman USA

Consultants and Actuaries

Do the Math! An Actuarial View of Underwriting
2004 Long Term Care International Forum
Newport Beach, California
April 21-23, 2004

Amy Pahl, FSA, MAAA
Minneapolis, Minnesota
(952) 820-2419

Deborah Grant, FSA, MAAA
Chicago, Illinois
(312) 499-5575

Topics

- The Cost of Being *Wrong*
- Underwriting Wear-off
- Making Refined Decisions



Opportunities to Miss

- Accept applicant who will claim early
- Decline someone who never claims
- Accept applicant that becomes a long claim
- Place in “wrong” risk class



A few Actuarial Basics

- Product pricing includes a three-part morbidity assumption
 - Frequency of claim
 - Dollars spent while on claim
 - Length of claim/stay (LOS)Referred to together as the “claim cost”
- Data is limited and “piece-meal”



Underwriting Impact

- Delay / eliminate claims → affects frequency
- Eliminate long claims → affects length of claim



Claims / 1000 for IA 62

<u>Duration</u>	<u>“Ultimate” Frequency</u>	<u>“Tight” Underwriting</u>
1	1.7	.4
2	1.9	.7
3	2.1	1.0
5	2.8	1.7
9	4.9	4.3
10	5.7	5.2
15	15.7	15.7
Premium	\$ 2,092	\$ 2,005



If Miss in Year 1

<u>Duration</u>	<u>Original Underwriting</u>	<u>One Extra</u>	<u>Two Extra</u>
1	.4	1.4	2.4
2	.7	.7	.7
3	1.0	1.0	1.0
5	1.7	1.7	1.7
9	4.3	4.3	4.3
10	5.2	5.2	5.2
15	15.7	15.7	15.7
Premium	\$ 2,005	\$ 2,022	\$2,039



If Miss in Years 1 to 5

<u>Duration</u>	<u>Original Underwriting</u>	<u>One Extra</u>
1	.4	1.4
2	.7	1.7
3	1.0	2.0
4	1.4	2.4
5	1.7	2.7
9	4.3	4.3
10	5.2	5.2

Premium

\$ 2,005

\$ 2,063



“Make Up” for Miss

Can't just be “right” to return to 18% IRR, must be BETTER than original frequency



“Make Up” Frequency

<u>Duration</u>	<u>Original Underwriting</u>	<u>One Extra</u>	<u>“Make-up Frequency</u>
1	.4	1.4	.2
2	.7	.7	.4
3	1.0	1.0	.7
4	1.4	1.4	1.1
5	1.7	1.7	1.4
9	4.3	4.3	4.3
		1 Extra	1.4 Fewer
Profit IRR	18.0%	17.5%	18.5%



Decline an Acceptable Risk

- Underwriting expense is incurred
- Slower growth to critical mass
- Opportunity cost



Accepting a “Long” Claim

Month on <u>Claim</u>	Original <u>Continuance</u>	With an Extra <u>“Long” Claim</u>
0	1,000	1,000
1	837	837
6	591	591
12	431	431
36	202	203
120	22	23
Average LOS	810	843



Risk Class Premium

- \$2,005 is a “composite” premium
 - Assume have Standard & Preferred
 - 30% Issued Preferred w/ 20% discount

$$30\% * 80\% \text{ Std} + 70\% * \text{Std} = \$2,005$$

Standard Rate = \$ 2,133

Preferred Rate = \$ 1,706



Impact of Misclassification

- Assume issue 1,000 lives
 - 710 are Standard risk
 - 290 are Preferred risk
 - Misclassify 10 of Standard
 - 700 classified as Standard
 - 300 classified as Preferred
- Premium Impact = \$ 312,000 (.8%)



Underwriting Intensity

- Loose
- Moderate
- Tight



Sample Selection Factors

<u>Duration</u>	<u>Loose</u>	<u>Moderate</u>	<u>Tight</u>
1	.40	.30	.22
2	.60	.40	.36
3	.80	.50	.47
4	1.10	.60	.56
5	1.25	.80	.61
6	1.35	.90	.74
7	1.45	.95	.79
8	1.50	.98	.83
9	1.50	1.00	.87
15	1.50	1.00	1.00



Does Selection Wear Off?

<u>Duration</u>	<u>Loose</u>	<u>Moderate</u>	<u>Tight</u>
1	.40	.30	.22
2	.60	.40	.36
3	.80	.50	.47
4	1.10	.60	.56
5	1.25	.80	.61
6	1.35	.90	.74
7	1.45	.95	.79
8	1.50	.98	.83
9	1.50	1.00	.87
15	1.50	1.00	1.00



Refinements

- Making refined decisions
- Challenge – need better data
- Impact on risk classification

